

January 19, 2009

Re: Conference of Chief Justices, "Appearance Of Impropriety" and Certain Actors

Dear Honourable Chief Justice,

It was with interest and hope that we learnt of the Conference of Chief Justices ("CCJ") rejection¹ of the abandonment of the "appearance of impropriety" as a basis for disciplinary action. Alas, it appears that even with that (dis)incentive in place not only some lower state court judges carry on their routine violations of the legal and constitutional rights of individuals (particularly the pro se) before them and commit actual impropriety (not to mention just its appearance alone), but indeed also blatantly perpetrate criminal misconduct. What is even more disturbing is that certain higher state Appellate and Supreme Courts as well as their Chief Justices knowingly and deliberately condone such criminal activities by overlooking, actively covering up and/or aiding and abetting such actions.

Amongst such judges who continue to commit such misconduct is Rex L. Reed² as well as David C. Bonfiglio³ Evan S. Roberts⁴ of Indiana, and, amongst the higher courts and Chief Justices who knowingly cover up and even aid and abet lower courts' criminal violations are certain Indiana Appellate and Supreme Courts' judges and justices notably Chief Justice Randall Terry Shepard himself. Evidence of misconduct by the said perpetrators has been provided to the Indiana House as part of Bills and Petitions for impeachment⁵ of some of the said judges, and are also available on line⁶. Indeed, the New York Times wrote an article⁷ regarding what can only be described as, to wit, case fixing, intimidation and retaliation by Indiana Appellate and Supreme Courts.

Now, the good intention shown by the CCJ's rejection requires a solid and strong element of enforcement of the words which constituted the said rejection. It is not mere words, but ensuring that the judiciary actually acts with integrity and in accordance with the said intention and conducts itself based upon the law and Constitution in state courts that the public demands and expects.

As this letter is also sent to various state-wide, national and international judicial and legislative authorities, think-tanks and judicial and human rights watch organizations, you are personally requested to provide your input regarding stringent and independent enforcement of the Canons and rules pertaining to judicial misconduct, notably adherence to the federal Constitution which all judges are supposedly sworn to uphold and which most of them regularly trample upon.

There can be no doubt that with the removal of self-assigned judicial immunity, judges would be more cognizant of the rules of conduct, law and the Constitution for, a law-abiding judge can and would have no fear of his/her conduct being subject of examination by *independent* observers and the public he/she purports to serve. Furthermore, such removal would be no obstruction to “administration of justice” for, first the affected public would have confidence in the integrity of the judiciary and their decisions and judgments, hence there would be no adverse action against the latter. Secondly, even in the unlikely event of a legal challenge (such as under 42 U.S.C. 1983), the affected judiciary should have no fear if he/she acted and ruled in accordance with the law and the Constitution. Therefore, *there is absolutely no reasonable pretext for the current self-assigned and unconstitutional concept of judicial immunity which is being routinely utilized as a cover for judicial tyranny and criminal misconduct under the colour of law.*

The currently prevalent judicial corruption continues to extend and encourage other official misconduct by such actors as attorneys, court mediators, law enforcement officers, to name but a few. Yet, many good, law-abiding and honourable judges are overwhelmed and intimidated by the miscreant judiciary to the detriment of justice, rule of law and the public interest. Continuing to sustain the current judicial tyranny and immunity to cover same can only be construed as condoning such behaviour and ultimately result in unintended consequences.

Such steps, to wit removal of immunity, by the CCJ and individual chief justices are necessary and the public demands them so that the scourge of ever-deepening judicial corruption may be stemmed.

It is assumed that this letter is brought to your personal attention and your prompt input in support of measures to wit removal of judicial immunity in combating corruption is requested and anticipated.

Looking forward to receiving your response.

Yours Sincerely,

Dr. Amir H. Sanjari

/s/ Mr. Michael J. Stephens

/s/ Mr. Gary Helman

Et al.

CC: Chief Justices Of All US Territories- State Justice Institute- National Center For State Courts- Federal, National and International Judicial & Legislative Authorities- States, National and International Media, and The UN Human Rights Commission, *et al.*

"All that is necessary for the triumph of evil is that good men do nothing."

Edmund Burke. 1729-1797

- 1 The CCJ's vote in February 2007 in New Orleans.
 - 2 Judge Rex L. Reed, of Kosciusko Circuit Court, Kosciusko County, Indiana, whose violations (for which there exists evidence) include, but are not limited to:
 - a. Fraud upon the court, the US government, Indiana citizens, and the undersigned under colour of law.
 - b. Unlawful tampering and falsification of official court records to profit and and cover up his crimes.
 - c. Conspiracy, coercion, criminal misconduct, public corruption and human rights atrocities.
 - d. Discrimination on the basis of race, gender and national origin.
 - e. Unlawfully acting as a judge and in the absence of jurisdiction, issuing orders while having conflict of interest and after having already been sued in federal and Indiana courts by a party in a case before him.
 - f. Violation of federal and Indiana laws, constitutions, Judicial Canons and oath of office.
 - g. Conspiracy to effect unlawful imprisonment loss of liberty and deprivation rights and livelihood as retaliation. Violations (*passim*) of many amendments to the Constitution.
 - h. Endangerment of minor children and knowingly aiding and abetting and contributing to abuse of minors.
 - i. Lying under colour of law and making false statements in court orders.
 - j. Threats and intimidation in court hearings against self-represented parties.
 - k. Obstruction of justice and perverting the course of justice, nepotism.
 - l. *Ex parte* communications in conspiracy to cover up his own and others' fraud and crimes.
 - m. Deprivation of rights and disdain for the federal and Indiana laws and the constitutions.
 - 3 Judge David C. Bonfiglio, of Elkhart Superior Court # 6, Elkhart County, Indiana, whose violations include, but are not limited to: Deprivation of fundamentally secured rights, False Imprisonment, Fraud.
 - 4 Judge Evan S. Roberts, of Elkhart Superior Court # 1, Elkhart County, Indiana, whose violations include, but are not limited to: Deprivation of fundamentally secured rights, False Imprisonment, Fraud.
 - 5 a) Petition for impeachment of judge Rex L. Reed, was filed on July 30, 2008 in accordance with the Indiana constitution and law and consisted of a Bill/Petition for Impeachment, Notice of Felony (describing the misconduct by the said judges) and supporting evidence against the said perpetrators. It maybe found at: <http://corruptusjudicialsystem.org/#07302008-1ani>
 - b) Petitions for impeachment of judges David C. Bonfiglio and Evan S. Roberts maybe found at: <http://corruptusjudicialsystem.org/#10072008-1ani>
 - 6 Accounts and evidence of criminal and other misconduct by judge Reed, Indiana Appellate and Supreme Courts and Chief Justice Randall Terry Shepard may be found at
 - a) <http://www.corruptusjudicialsystem.org/papa.html#Evidence%20Of%20Criminal%20Misconduct%20By%20Indiana%20Judiciary%20&%20Officials>
and
 - b) Accounts and evidence of criminal and other misconduct by judges Bonfiglio and Roberts and Indiana Appellate and Supreme Courts may be found at <http://www.corruptusjudicialsystem.org/in#Misconduct%20Cases-%20Indiana> .
 - 7 The New York Times article (<http://www.corruptusjudicialsystem.org/insc-wilkins.pdf>) regarding Indiana Appellate and Supreme Courts' misconduct (regarding two attorneys) may also be found at: <http://query.nytimes.com/gst/fullpage.html?res=940DE3DB173EF930A35752C1A9649C8B63>
- or, at:
<http://corruptusjudicialsystem.org/#02202008-1ani>

N.B. For further information and evidence (documentary, audio and witnesses) of Indiana lower, Appellate and Supreme Courts' criminal misconduct please contact Dr. Sanjari (contact information at the bottom of above pages).